

What Is the OPP Approach to the Next Generation of Laboratory Requirements

Planetary Protection Quality Management System

NASA Contamination, Coatings, Materials, and Planetary Protection Workshop September 12 -14, 2023

> Amy Baker, SETI Institute Nick Benardini, NASA

Elaine Seasly, NASA





Spacecraft Mission/Project

- A credible verification approach that validates implemented PP processes by providing assessment (validation) strategies including data acquisition, processing, end use, and reporting
- Process validation via the generation of appropriate data quality objectives, process implementation and data capture that supports those objectives.
- Laboratory compliance with analytical data quality objectives via standardized laboratory management and procedural requirements
- Demonstration of compliance throughout the process evaluation, and independent internal assessment

Office of Safety and Mission Assurance

- Implementation of a risk-based assessment program that accounts for the criticality of the mission, systems, subsystems, components, subassemblies, parts and materials, and the likelihood and severity of consequences if compliance is not achieved.
- Process validation via the concurrence with appropriate data quality objectives, process implementation and data capture that supports those objectives.



OPP's Quality Assurance Focus





Heavily biased towards auditing the mechanics of data acquisition and has lost focus on resulting data and data outcomes.

Direct assay data itself validates hardware bioburden status at moment in time but not over the entire assembly process.

The process has become prescriptive and not performance based.



OPP's quality assurance focus is process validation via the generation of appropriate data quality objectives, process implementation and data capture that supports those objectives.





What this means to Projects



- Planetary Protection under the Office of Safety and Mission Assurance is moving toward process validation via standardized quality approaches
- A systematic quality-based approach expands the framework for alternate approaches and methods to demonstrate compliance with PP requirements
- It empowers the Project to validate its own PP processes, thus feeding into OPP concurrence via validated and comprehensive data sets.
- Shifts the ownership of PP compliance to the Project





Aligning NASA Standards to Industry Standards

Standardization of Approach

What we can take now from Industry

Standardization of Format – Technically and Topically

The technical requirements we need to have in place to "standardize" assay methods: Topically operating requirements that look like Industry

- Substitute Existing Standards as Appliable
- Develop Validation Requirements Prescriptive and Performance Based or Hybrid









There are two general approaches to developing a quality assurance program: a prescriptive approach, in which we prescribe an exact method of quality assessment, and a performancebased approach in which we can use any form of quality assessment, provided that we can demonstrate an acceptable level of statistical control [Poppiti, J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1994, 28, 151A-152A].

Prescriptive Based: duplicate samples, blanks, standards, and spike recoveries are measured using a specific protocol. We compare the result of each analysis to a single predetermined limit, taking an appropriate corrective action if the limit is exceeded. Prescriptive approaches to quality assurance are common for programs and laboratories subject to federal regulation. For example, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) specifies quality assurance practices that must be followed by laboratories that analyze products regulated by the FDA.

Performance Based: a

laboratory is free to use its experience to determine the best way to gather and monitor quality assessment data. The tools of quality assessment remain the same— duplicate samples, blanks, standards, and spike recoveries—because they provide the necessary information about precision and bias. What a laboratory can control is the frequency with which it analyzes quality assessment samples and the conditions it chooses to signal when an analysis no longer is in a state of statistical control.

Reference: evaluating Quality Assurance Data: David Harvey, <u>15.4: Evaluating Quality Assurance</u> <u>Data - Chemistry LibreTexts</u>





Quality Assurance Approaches are Common and Available in Industry

They feature similar language and requirements including:

- Laboratory Management Systems and Process Evaluation
- ✓ Data Quality Evaluation and Criteria
- ✓ Method and Analyst Proficiency
- ✓ Risk Evaluation
- ✓ Corrective Action Requirements

Participating Organizations using Process/Performance Based Paradigms

- American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA)
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO)
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
- National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) –uses ISO standards
- ASTM International Standards



7

Data Quality Objectives

• Qualitative and quantitative statements describing a process or study objectives that define the appropriate type of data, and specify tolerable levels of potential decision errors that will be used as the basis for establishing the quality and quantity of data needed to support data acceptance decisions

Performance and Acceptance Criteria

- Performance criteria represent the full set of specifications that are needed to design a data or information collection effort such that, when implemented, generate newly collected data that are of sufficient quality and quantity to address the project's goals.
- Acceptance criteria are specifications intended to evaluate the adequacy of one or more existing sources of information or data as being acceptable to support the project's intended use.

From: EPA Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA/240/B-06/001 February 2006





- Analyses defines the type and quality of data needed for end use
- Planning and data evaluation criteria is critical before data acquisition
- Boundaries and limitations of studies are addressed
- The analytical approach is based upon statistical evaluations of risk and resolution strategies
- Performance criteria based upon error, confidence level, and other statistical indicators
- Work plan implements all of the criteria above to ensure comprehensive and defensible data package







In-house management and technical activities required to implement, document and validate required quality assurance parameters associated with planetary protection biological assays.	QA Project Plan		
	Procedures that those who conduct a monitoring project will take to ensure that the data they collect and analyze meets project requirements.	Data Quality/Verification	
		Acceptance criteria Acceptable method variances Demonstration of method proficiency for the laboratory and individual	Data analysis, evaluation, and assessment against the intended use of the data and the quality performance criteria including statistical hypothesis tests, calculating confidence

From: EPA Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA/240/B-06/001 February 2006



Laboratory Plan







Office of Planetary Protection

Validation via Continual Process Monitoring and Evaluation







Office of Planetary Protection

sma.nasa.gov



- PP validation is moving towards a process-based quality paradigm
- Verifying the process implementation and performance of planetary protection requirements over a Project's lifecycle
- Transferring the demonstration of compliance from NASA OPP to the Project
- Adopting standardized analytical approaches between NASA and industry to support both NASA and commercial endeavors



13



- NASA Policy
 - NPR 8715.24 Planetary Protection Provisions for Robotic Extraterrestrial Missions
 - NPR 8735.2C Hardware Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Programs and Projects (Updated w/Change 1)
- Interagency and Industrial Standards
 - EPA Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives
 Process, EPA/240/B-06/001 February 2006
 - ISO/IEC 17025:(2017) General Requirements for Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories
- Other
 - Poppiti, J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1994, 28, 151A-152A
 - Evaluating Quality Assurance Data: David Harvey, <u>15.4: Evaluating Quality</u>
 Assurance Data Chemistry LibreTexts



14