

A new approach: Dynamic QTGA

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig

05/07/2017

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

Overview



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Standard approach for the QTGA
- 3. New approach for the QTGA
- 4. Possible Pros/Cons of the new approach
- 5. Summary

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 2



Some terminology recapping (ECSS-Q-TM-70-52A)



Quartz Crystal Microbalance(QCM) Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA): Measures weight/mass change(loss/gain) and the rate of weight change as a function of temperature, time and atmosphere.

TML :	Total Mass Loss
RML :	Recovered mass loss
CVCM :	Collected volatile condensable material
KC :	Knudsen Cell
LN2 :	Liquid Nitrogen
DOK :	Dynamic outgassing Knudsen
Standard Test :	TGA performed at the end of the test
EOT :	End of Test

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 3

+

· = ■ ► = = + ■ + ■ = ≔ = ■ ■ ■ = = = = ■ ■ ■ ■ = = = ₩ ■

Introduction-1



Outgassing is the release of molecules into vacuum that were trapped on or in a material.

Types of mechanisms:

- Desorption
- Evaporation
- Diffusion
- Transportation
- Sticking/Condensation
- Re-emission
- Reflection

Relevant standard ECSS-Q-TM-70-52A Kinetic outgassing of materials for space

CCMPP `17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 4

+

= 11 🖕 == + 11 = 😑 = 11 11 = = = 🔚 🖬 11 = = 1= 13 🗰 💥 🔚

Introduction-2



A QCM can mimic a critical surface and through a controlled increase in temperature provide re-emission characteristics of condensed molecular mass.

- Increase our understanding on the kinetics of the condensed molecular mass (e.g. temperature of evaporation, rate of evaporation)
- Increase our understanding on the nature of the condensed molecular mass (e.g. creep, crystallize, react)
- Support contamination simulation tools with more accurate input parameters

Relevant standard ECSS-Q-TM-70-52A Kinetic outgassing of materials for space

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 5



Standard QTGA approach and the DOK schematic

Sample temperature is increased from 25°C to X°C by steps of 25°C every 24h. The number of steps and the duration at the max. test temperature is adjusted based on the real application temperature and the max. permitted test temperature of the sample.



CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 6



QCM Models and Set temperatures



QCM	Model	Temperature Range [°C]*	Set Temperature [°C]	QTGA
QCM1	CQCM	-199 - 100	-170	EOT
QCM2	TQCM	-80 - 100	-25	EOT
QCM3	TQCM	-80 - 100	-75	EOT
QCM4	TQCM	-80 - 100	-50	EOT

EOT: End of Test

*Temperature range values are taken from the manufacturers website.

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 7

*

= 11 🛌 == + 11 = 😑 = 11 11 = = = 🔚 🛶 💵 11 = = 12 💥 🛀

Standard Test – (in-situ) TML (%) of Sample_X



Max. permitted mass loss value by the mathematical model for this sample



European Space Agency

+

Standard Test – (in-situ) CVCM(%) of Sample_X





Standard Test – QTGA





Output parameters generated by current approach



1) Mathematical species

Time constant $ au_{0,i}$ (h)	Initial mass W _{0,i} (%)
0.5	0.0058
4.482096	0
40.17836	0.13660
360.1665	0.14052
3228.602	0.04488
28941.81	0.00067
259439.9	0.00312
2325669	0.00356
20847740	0.01035

2) A table that contains activation energies and temperature-

tim∉	e consta	Tem perature	Acceleration	Apparent Activation	Residence time-
		(°C)	Factor $K_{i \to i+1}$	Energy $E_{i \rightarrow i+i}$ (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	temperature dependency coefficient k_e
	I→II	75	4.12	52.9	0.0834
	II→III	100	3.65	52.9	
	III→IV	125	5.66	56.0	
	IV→V	150	20.68	85.7	
	V→VI	175	23.18	169.7	

- 3) TML, RML and CVCM of the sample
- 4) A clear description of the sample and the test methodology
- 5) TGA analysis
- 6) re-emission parameters are calculated by the assumption of $T_{ref} + 50K$

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 11

Dynamic QTGA approach and the DOK schematic

esa

Sample temperature is increased from 25°C to X°C by steps of 25°C every 24h. The number of steps and the duration at the max. test temperature is adjusted based on the real application temperature and the max. permitted test temperature of the sample.



CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 12

QCMs Models and temperatures



QCM	Model	Temperature Range [°C]*	Set Temperature [°C]	QTGA
QCM1	CQCM	-199 - 100	-170	EOT
QCM2	TQCM	-80 - 100	-25	EOT
QCM3	TQCM	-80 - 100	-75	16h after each Temperature step change
QCM4	TQCM	-80 - 100	-75	EOT

EOT: End of test

*Temperature range values are taken from the manufacturers website.

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 13

Example Test with the new QTGA approach:



Sample_X2 :

Chemical composition: Two-part silicone rubber

TML(%) : 1.95

RML(%): 1.93

CVCM(%) at -75°C : 1.59

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 14

*

= 11 🛌 == + 11 💻 들 == 11 11 == == 💷 🖬 == 💷 11 == 🚟

Test #2 – (in-situ) TML of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 15

+

Test #2 – (in-situ) CVCM of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 16

4

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 17

+

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-II of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 18

+

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-III of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 19

+

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-IV of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 20

+

_ ■ ■ = = + ■ ■ ≔ _ 10 ■ ≔ _ 10 ■ = _ = = ₩ = ₪ ■ ■ = = ₩ ₩ 1

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-V of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 21

4

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-V of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 22

+

QCM3 QTGA – Test Step I-V of Sample_X2





CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 23

+

Output parameters generated by current approach



1) Mathematical species

Time constant $ au_{0,i}$ (h)	Initial mass W _{0,i} (%)
0.5	0.0058
4.482096	0
40.17836	0.13660
360.1665	0.14052
3228.602	0.04488
28941.81	0.00067
259439.9	0.00312
2325669	0.00356
20847740	0.01035

2) A table that contains activation energies and temperature-

time	e oonsta	Def nperature	Acceleration	Apparent Activation	Residence time-
		(°C)	Factor $K_{i \rightarrow i+1}$	Energy $E_{i \rightarrow i+1}$ (kJ·mol ⁻¹)	temperature dependency
					coefficient k_e
	I→II	75	4.12	52.9	0.0834
	II→III	100	3.65	52.9	
	III→IV	125	5.66	56.0	
	IV→V	150	20.68	85.7	
	V→VI	175	23.18	169.7	

3) TML, RML and CVCM of the sample

4) A clear description of the sample and the test methodology

5) TGA analysis that contains further information which may improve contamination modelling

6) re-emission parameters are calculated by the assumption of $T_{ref} + 50K$

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

+



Summary



1. Increased understanding of the temperature evaluation of condensed molecules with a little more effort.

- 2. Possibilities to further characterize the kinetics of re-emitted molecules with the use of additional techniques (e.g. RGA, GC/MS, FTIR)
- 3. Support contamination simulation tools with more accurate input data.

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 25

+





THANK YOU

CCMPP '17 - NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

O. Ergincan, R. Rampini, C. Semprimoshnig | ESTEC | 05/07/2017 | Slide 26

*